

**To:** Williams, Melina[Williams.Melina@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** DeLuca, Isabel[DeLuca.Isabel@epa.gov]  
**From:** Birnbaum, Rona  
**Sent:** Mon 3/6/2017 6:17:52 PM  
**Subject:** one final review if possible  
CS monitor response.docx

Thanks for your earlier comments Melina. They're all included in the attached. Paul also asked us to add another paragraph that describes **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process** Isabel needs to forward this on today so if you don't have a chance to have a look, let me know as we may need to forward up the chain.

I'm cc'ing Isabel here so she also can quickly include any final comments you may have.

Thanks Melina!!

Rona

**From:** Kocchi, Suzanne  
**Sent:** Monday, March 06, 2017 9:41 AM  
**To:** Gunning, Paul <Gunning.Paul@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** DeLuca, Isabel <DeLuca.Isabel@epa.gov>; Birnbaum, Rona <Birnbaum.Rona@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: new one for your review - reminder  
**Importance:** High

Reminder – this needs your review please. Thanks.

**From:** Kocchi, Suzanne  
**Sent:** Friday, March 03, 2017 4:07 PM  
**To:** 'Gunning, Paul' <gunning.paul@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Birnbaum, Rona <Birnbaum.Rona@epa.gov>; 'DeLuca, Isabel' <deluca.isabel@epa.gov>; Nayem, Tasfia <Nayem.Tasfia@epa.gov>; DeAngelo, Ben <DeAngelo.Ben@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** new one for your review  
**Importance:** High

Paul – new version for you to review. It is due Mon so if you are able to read it in the morning, that would be great. Thanks!

**From:** Birnbaum, Rona  
**Sent:** Friday, March 03, 2017 3:24 PM  
**To:** Kocchi, Suzanne <[Kocchi.Suzanne@epa.gov](mailto:Kocchi.Suzanne@epa.gov)>; Nayem, Tasfia <[Nayem.Tasfia@epa.gov](mailto:Nayem.Tasfia@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** DeAngelo, Ben <[DeAngelo.Ben@epa.gov](mailto:DeAngelo.Ben@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: ACTION: CS Monitor RE: Endangerment finding

Here is a revised version. Note that there is an

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Let us know if you need anything else on this.

**From:** Kocchi, Suzanne  
**Sent:** Friday, March 03, 2017 8:25 AM  
**To:** Birnbaum, Rona <[Birnbaum.Rona@epa.gov](mailto:Birnbaum.Rona@epa.gov)>; Nayem, Tasfia <[Nayem.Tasfia@epa.gov](mailto:Nayem.Tasfia@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: ACTION: CS Monitor RE: Endangerment finding  
**Importance:** High

Paul wants to edit this answer with

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Hopefully that makes sense. Rona, Paul says to call him **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** if you have questions. He isn't looking at the screen too much so he probably wouldn't see it if you just emailed.

---

**From:** DeLuca, Isabel  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2017 5:54 PM  
**To:** Birnbaum, Rona <[Birnbaum.Rona@epa.gov](mailto:Birnbaum.Rona@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: ACTION: CS Monitor RE: Endangerment finding

Hi Rona,

We've got some press questions on the endangerment finding. **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**  
**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**  
**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process** The reporter's deadline isn't until 3/5, so we have some time. I'd love to hear your thoughts when you have a chance tomorrow.

Thanks,

Isabel

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Jones, Enesta" <[Jones.Enesta@epa.gov](mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov)>  
**Date:** February 22, 2017 at 5:43:10 PM EST  
**To:** "Drinkard, Andrea" <[Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov](mailto:Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov)>, "Bremer, Kristen" <[Bremer.Kristen@epa.gov](mailto:Bremer.Kristen@epa.gov)>, "DeLuca, Isabel" <[DeLuca.Isabel@epa.gov](mailto:DeLuca.Isabel@epa.gov)>, "Millett, John" <[Millett.John@epa.gov](mailto:Millett.John@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** ACTION: CS Monitor RE: Endangerment finding

Can we take a stab at some of these?

**Enesta Jones**

**U.S. EPA**

**Office of Media Relations**

**Office: 202.564.7873**

**Cell:** Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

**"The root of all joy is gratefulness."**

Begin forwarded message:

**Resent-From:** <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**From:** Zack Colman <[colmanz@csmonitor.com](mailto:colmanz@csmonitor.com)>  
**Date:** February 22, 2017 at 5:41:40 PM EST  
**To:** Press <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Endangerment finding  
**Reply-To:** "[colmanz@csmonitor.com](mailto:colmanz@csmonitor.com)" <[colmanz@csmonitor.com](mailto:colmanz@csmonitor.com)>

Hello,

Zack Colman with CSMonitor here. Looking to get more info on the endangerment finding.

Had talked to Sen. Inhofe and he mentioned "opening up" the endangerment finding to add more science.

1. Is there a sense that you could add science to the literature without going through the regulatory review process?

2. What would the practical effect of adding science be — would doing so call for potentially less or more aggressive regulation, depending on what the science says?

3. Would it *necessitate* more or less aggressive regulation depending on that science?

Separately, have a couple more questions on some broad-stroke concepts.

4. What would need to happen to scrap the endangerment finding entirely?

5. Would withdrawing from the IPCC have any effect on the endangerment finding?

6. Does the endangerment finding necessitate regulation through the Clean Air Act? Or could regulation be done through another statute?

6a. Or, rather, is there any specific directive to regulate within the Clean Air Act? And is the endangerment finding agnostic on statute?

Thanks,

-Zack

--

Zack Colman

Deputy Energy/Enviro Editor

Christian Science Monitor

Knight Science Journalism fellow at MIT, '15-16

248.563.9744

Twitter: @zcolman